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Abstract

By the end of this section, you will be able to:

- Describe the scientific reasons for the success of Mendel’s experimental work
- Describe the expected outcomes of monohybrid crosses involving dominant and recessive alleles
- Apply the sum and product rules to calculate probabilities
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Figure 1: Johann Gregor Mendel is considered the father of genetics.

Johann Gregor Mendel (1822–1884) (Figure 1) was a lifelong learner, teacher, scientist, and man of faith.
As a young adult, he joined the Augustinian Abbey of St. Thomas in Brno in what is now the Czech Republic. Supported by the monastery, he taught physics, botany, and natural science courses at the secondary and university levels. In 1856, he began a decade-long research pursuit involving inheritance patterns in honeybees and plants, ultimately settling on pea plants as his primary model system (a system with convenient characteristics used to study a specific biological phenomenon to be applied to other systems). In 1865, Mendel presented the results of his experiments with nearly 30,000 pea plants to the local Natural History Society. He demonstrated that traits are transmitted faithfully from parents to offspring independently of other traits and in dominant and recessive patterns. In 1866, he published his work, *Experiments in Plant Hybridization*, in the proceedings of the Natural History Society of Brünn.

Mendel's work went virtually unnoticed by the scientific community that believed, incorrectly, that the process of inheritance involved a blending of parental traits that produced an intermediate physical appearance in offspring; this hypothetical process appeared to be correct because of what we know now as continuous variation. Continuous variation results from the action of many genes to determine a characteristic like human height. Offspring appear to be a "blend" of their parents' traits when we look at characteristics that exhibit continuous variation. The blending theory of inheritance asserted that the original parental traits were lost or absorbed by the blending in the offspring, but we now know that this is not the case. Mendel was the first researcher to see it. Instead of continuous characteristics, Mendel worked with traits that were inherited in distinct classes (specifically, violet versus white flowers); this is referred to as discontinuous variation. Mendel's choice of these kinds of traits allowed him to see experimentally that the traits were not blended in the offspring, nor were they absorbed, but rather that they kept their distinctness and could be passed on. In 1868, Mendel became abbot of the monastery and exchanged his scientific pursuits for his pastoral duties. He was not recognized for his extraordinary scientific contributions during his lifetime. In fact, it was not until 1900 that his work was rediscovered, reproduced, and revitalized by scientists on the brink of discovering the chromosomal basis of heredity.

1 Mendel's Model System

Mendel's seminal work was accomplished using the garden pea, *Pisum sativum*, to study inheritance. This species naturally self-fertilizes, such that pollen encounters ova within individual flowers. The flower petals remain sealed tightly until after pollination, preventing pollination from other plants. The result is highly inbred, or "true-breeding," pea plants. These are plants that always produce offspring that look like the parent. By experimenting with true-breeding pea plants, Mendel avoided the appearance of unexpected traits in offspring that might occur if the plants were not true breeding. The garden pea also grows to maturity within one season, meaning that several generations could be evaluated over a relatively short time. Finally, large quantities of garden peas could be cultivated simultaneously, allowing Mendel to conclude that his results did not come about simply by chance.

2 Mendelian Crosses

Mendel performed hybridizations, which involve mating two true-breeding individuals that have different traits. In the pea, which is naturally self-pollinating, this is done by manually transferring pollen from the anther of a mature pea plant of one variety to the stigma of a separate mature pea plant of the second variety. In plants, pollen carries the male gametes (sperm) to the stigma, a sticky organ that traps pollen and allows the sperm to move down the pistil to the female gametes (ova) below. To prevent the pea plant that was receiving pollen from self-fertilizing and confounding his results, Mendel painstakingly removed all of the anthers from the plant’s flowers before they had a chance to mature.

Plants used in first-generation crosses were called P₀, or parental generation one, plants (Figure 2). Mendel collected the seeds belonging to the P₀ plants that resulted from each cross and grew them the following season. These offspring were called the F₁, or the first filial (filial = offspring, daughter or son), generation. Once Mendel examined the characteristics in the F₁ generation of plants, he allowed them to self-fertilize naturally. He then collected and grew the seeds from the F₁ plants to produce the F₂, or second
Mendel’s experiments extended beyond the F₂ generation to the F₃ and F₄ generations, and so on, but it was the ratio of characteristics in the P₀–F₁–F₂ generations that were the most intriguing and became the basis for Mendel’s postulates.
In one of his experiments on inheritance patterns, Mendel crossed plants that were true-breeding for violet flower color with plants true-breeding for white flower color (the P generation). The resulting hybrids in the F₁ generation all had violet flowers. In the F₂ generation, approximately three quarters of the plants had violet flowers, and one quarter had white flowers.
3 Garden Pea Characteristics Revealed the Basics of Heredity

In his 1865 publication, Mendel reported the results of his crosses involving seven different characteristics, each with two contrasting traits. A trait is defined as a variation in the physical appearance of a heritable characteristic. The characteristics included plant height, seed texture, seed color, flower color, pea pod size, pea pod color, and flower position. For the characteristic of flower color, for example, the two contrasting traits were white versus violet. To fully examine each characteristic, Mendel generated large numbers of F\textsubscript{1} and F\textsubscript{2} plants, reporting results from 19,959 F\textsubscript{2} plants alone. His findings were consistent.

What results did Mendel find in his crosses for flower color? First, Mendel confirmed that he had plants that bred true for white or violet flower color. Regardless of how many generations Mendel examined, all self-crossed offspring of parents with white flowers had white flowers, and all self-crossed offspring of parents with violet flowers had violet flowers. In addition, Mendel confirmed that, other than flower color, the pea plants were physically identical.

Once these validations were complete, Mendel applied the pollen from a plant with violet flowers to the stigma of a plant with white flowers. After gathering and sowing the seeds that resulted from this cross, Mendel found that 100 percent of the F\textsubscript{1} hybrid generation had violet flowers. Conventional wisdom at that time would have predicted the hybrid flowers to be pale violet or for hybrid plants to have equal numbers of white and violet flowers. In other words, the contrasting parental traits were expected to blend in the offspring. Instead, Mendel’s results demonstrated that the white flower trait in the F\textsubscript{1} generation had completely disappeared.

Importantly, Mendel did not stop his experimentation there. He allowed the F\textsubscript{1} plants to self-fertilize and found that, of F\textsubscript{2}-generation plants, 705 had violet flowers and 224 had white flowers. This was a ratio of 3.15 violet flowers per one white flower, or approximately 3:1. When Mendel transferred pollen from a plant with violet flowers to the stigma of a plant with white flowers and vice versa, he obtained about the same ratio regardless of which parent, male or female, contributed which trait. This is called a reciprocal cross—a paired cross in which the respective traits of the male and female in one cross become the respective traits of the female and male in the other cross. For the other six characteristics Mendel examined, the F\textsubscript{1} and F\textsubscript{2} generations behaved in the same way as they had for flower color. One of the two traits would disappear completely from the F\textsubscript{1} generation only to reappear in the F\textsubscript{2} generation at a ratio of approximately 3:1 (Table 1).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Contrasting P₀ Traits</th>
<th>F₁ Offspring Traits</th>
<th>F₂ Offspring Traits</th>
<th>F₂ Trait Ratios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flower color</td>
<td>Violet vs. white</td>
<td>100 percent violet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>705 violet</td>
<td>224 white</td>
<td>3.15:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower position</td>
<td>Axial vs. terminal</td>
<td>100 percent axial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>651 axial</td>
<td>207 terminal</td>
<td>3.14:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height</td>
<td>Tall vs. dwarf</td>
<td>100 percent tall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>787 tall</td>
<td>277 dwarf</td>
<td>2.84:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed texture</td>
<td>Round vs. wrinkled</td>
<td>100 percent round</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,474 round</td>
<td>1,850 wrinkled</td>
<td>2.96:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed color</td>
<td>Yellow vs. green</td>
<td>100 percent yellow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,022 yellow</td>
<td>2,001 green</td>
<td>3.01:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pea pod texture</td>
<td>Inflated vs. constricted</td>
<td>100 percent inflated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>882 inflated</td>
<td>299 constricted</td>
<td>2.95:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pea pod color</td>
<td>Green vs. yellow</td>
<td>100 percent green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>428 green</td>
<td>152 yellow</td>
<td>2.82:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**

Upon compiling his results for many thousands of plants, Mendel concluded that the characteristics could be divided into expressed and latent traits. He called these, respectively, dominant and recessive traits. Dominant traits are those that are inherited unchanged in a hybridization. Recessive traits become latent, or disappear, in the offspring of a hybridization. The recessive trait does, however, reappear in the progeny of the hybrid offspring. An example of a dominant trait is the violet-flower trait. For this same characteristic (flower color), white-colored flowers are a recessive trait. The fact that the recessive trait reappeared in the F₂ generation meant that the traits remained separate (not blended) in the plants.
of the F1 generation. Mendel also proposed that plants possessed two copies of the trait for the flower-color characteristic, and that each parent transmitted one of its two copies to its offspring, where they came together. Moreover, the physical observation of a dominant trait could mean that the genetic composition of the organism included two dominant versions of the characteristic or that it included one dominant and one recessive version. Conversely, the observation of a recessive trait meant that the organism lacked any dominant versions of this characteristic.

So why did Mendel repeatedly obtain 3:1 ratios in his crosses? To understand how Mendel deduced the basic mechanisms of inheritance that lead to such ratios, we must first review the laws of probability.

4 Probability Basics

Probabilities are mathematical measures of likelihood. The empirical probability of an event is calculated by dividing the number of times the event occurs by the total number of opportunities for the event to occur. It is also possible to calculate theoretical probabilities by dividing the number of times that an event is expected to occur by the number of times that it could occur. Empirical probabilities come from observations, like those of Mendel. Theoretical probabilities come from knowing how the events are produced and assuming that the probabilities of individual outcomes are equal. A probability of one for some event indicates that it is guaranteed to occur, whereas a probability of zero indicates that it is guaranteed not to occur. An example of a genetic event is a round seed produced by a pea plant. In his experiment, Mendel demonstrated that the probability of the event “round seed” occurring was one in the F1 offspring of true-breeding parents, one of which has round seeds and one of which has wrinkled seeds. When the F1 plants were subsequently self-crossed, the probability of any given F2 offspring having round seeds was now three out of four. In other words, in a large population of F2 offspring chosen at random, 75 percent were expected to have round seeds, whereas 25 percent were expected to have wrinkled seeds. Using large numbers of crosses, Mendel was able to calculate probabilities and use these to predict the outcomes of other crosses.

4.1 The Product Rule and Sum Rule

Mendel demonstrated that the pea-plant characteristics he studied were transmitted as discrete units from parent to offspring. As will be discussed, Mendel also determined that different characteristics, like seed color and seed texture, were transmitted independently of one another and could be considered in separate probability analyses. For instance, performing a cross between a plant with green, wrinkled seeds and a plant with yellow, round seeds still produced offspring that had a 3:1 ratio of green:yellow seeds (ignoring seed texture) and a 3:1 ratio of round:wrinkled seeds (ignoring seed color). The characteristics of color and texture did not influence each other.

The product rule of probability can be applied to this phenomenon of the independent transmission of characteristics. The product rule states that the probability of two independent events occurring together can be calculated by multiplying the individual probabilities of each event occurring alone. To demonstrate the product rule, imagine that you are rolling a six-sided die (D) and flipping a penny (P) at the same time. The die may roll any number from 1–6 (D), whereas the penny may turn up heads (P) or tails (P). The outcome of rolling the die has no effect on the outcome of flipping the penny and vice versa. There are 12 possible outcomes of this action (Table 2), and each event is expected to occur with equal probability.
## Twelve Equally Likely Outcomes of Rolling a Die and Flipping a Penny

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rolling Die</th>
<th>Flipping Penny</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(D_1)</td>
<td>(P_H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_1)</td>
<td>(P_T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_2)</td>
<td>(P_H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_2)</td>
<td>(P_T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_3)</td>
<td>(P_H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_3)</td>
<td>(P_T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_4)</td>
<td>(P_H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_4)</td>
<td>(P_T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_5)</td>
<td>(P_H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_5)</td>
<td>(P_T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_6)</td>
<td>(P_H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_6)</td>
<td>(P_T)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

Of the 12 possible outcomes, the die has a 2/12 (or 1/6) probability of rolling a two, and the penny has a 6/12 (or 1/2) probability of coming up heads. By the product rule, the probability that you will obtain the combined outcome 2 and heads is: \((D_2) \times (P_H) = (1/6) \times (1/2) = 1/12\) (Table 3). Notice the word “and” in the description of the probability. The “and” is a signal to apply the product rule. For example, consider how the product rule is applied to the dihybrid cross: the probability of having both dominant traits in the \(F_2\) progeny is the product of the probabilities of having the dominant trait for each characteristic, as shown here:

\[
4 = 916
\]

On the other hand, the **sum rule** of probability is applied when considering two mutually exclusive outcomes that can come about by more than one pathway. The sum rule states that the probability of the occurrence of one event or the other event, of two mutually exclusive events, is the sum of their individual probabilities. Notice the word “or” in the description of the probability. The “or” indicates that you should apply the sum rule. In this case, let’s imagine you are flipping a penny (P) and a quarter (Q). What is the probability of one coin coming up heads and one coin coming up tails? This outcome can be achieved by two cases: the penny may be heads (\(P_H\)) and the quarter may be tails (\(Q_T\)), or the quarter may be heads (\(Q_H\)) and the penny may be tails (\(P_T\)). Either case fulfills the outcome. By the sum rule, we calculate the probability of obtaining one head and one tail as \([P_H] \times [Q_T] + [Q_H] \times [P_T] = [(1/2) \times (1/2)] + [(1/2) \times (1/2)] = 1/2\) (Table 3). You should also notice that we used the product rule to calculate the probability of \(P_H\) and \(Q_T\), and also the probability of \(P_T\) and \(Q_H\), before we summed them. Again, the sum rule can be applied to show the probability of having just one dominant trait in the \(F_2\) generation of a dihybrid cross:

\[
4 = 1516
\]
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Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Rule</th>
<th>Sum Rule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For independent events A and B, the probability (P) of them both occurring (A and B) is ( P_A \times P_B ).</td>
<td>For mutually exclusive events A and B, the probability (P) that at least one occurs (A or B) is ( P_A + P_B ).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To use probability laws in practice, it is necessary to work with large sample sizes because small sample sizes are prone to deviations caused by chance. The large quantities of pea plants that Mendel examined allowed him calculate the probabilities of the traits appearing in his F\(_2\) generation. As you will learn, this discovery meant that when parental traits were known, the offspring’s traits could be predicted accurately even before fertilization.

5 Section Summary

Working with garden pea plants, Mendel found that crosses between parents that differed by one trait produced F\(_1\) offspring that all expressed the traits of one parent. Observable traits are referred to as dominant, and non-expressed traits are described as recessive. When the offspring in Mendel’s experiment were self-crossed, the F\(_2\) offspring exhibited the dominant trait or the recessive trait in a 3:1 ratio, confirming that the recessive trait had been transmitted faithfully from the original P\(_0\) parent. Reciprocal crosses generated identical F\(_1\) and F\(_2\) offspring ratios. By examining sample sizes, Mendel showed that his crosses behaved reproducibly according to the laws of probability, and that the traits were inherited as independent events.

Two rules in probability can be used to find the expected proportions of offspring of different traits from different crosses. To find the probability of two or more independent events occurring together, apply the product rule and multiply the probabilities of the individual events. The use of the word “and” suggests the appropriate application of the product rule. To find the probability of two or more events occurring in combination, apply the sum rule and add their individual probabilities together. The use of the word “or” suggests the appropriate application of the sum rule.

6 Review Questions

Exercise 1

Mendel performed hybridizations by transferring pollen from the ______ of the male plant to the female ova.

a. anther  
b. pistil  
c. stigma  
d. seed

Exercise 2

Which is one of the seven characteristics that Mendel observed in pea plants?

a. flower size
b. seed texture  
c. leaf shape  
d. stem color

**Exercise 3**  
*(Solution on p. 12.)*  
Imagine you are performing a cross involving seed color in garden pea plants. What F<sub>1</sub> offspring would you expect if you cross true-breeding parents with green seeds and yellow seeds? Yellow seed color is dominant over green.

a. 100 percent yellow-green seeds  
b. 100 percent yellow seeds  
c. 50 percent yellow, 50 percent green seeds  
d. 25 percent green, 75 percent yellow seeds

**Exercise 4**  
*(Solution on p. 12.)*  
Consider a cross to investigate the pea pod texture trait, involving constricted or inflated pods. Mendel found that the traits behave according to a dominant/recessive pattern in which inflated pods were dominant. If you performed this cross and obtained 650 inflated-pod plants in the F<sub>2</sub> generation, approximately how many constricted-pod plants would you expect to have?

a. 600  
b. 165  
c. 217  
d. 468

**7 Free Response**

**Exercise 5**  
*(Solution on p. 12.)*  
Describe one of the reasons why the garden pea was an excellent choice of model system for studying inheritance.

**Exercise 6**  
*(Solution on p. 12.)*  
How would you perform a reciprocal cross for the characteristic of stem height in the garden pea?
Solutions to Exercises in this Module

to Exercise (p. 10)
A

to Exercise (p. 10)
B

to Exercise (p. 11)
B

to Exercise (p. 11)
C

to Exercise (p. 11)
The garden pea is sessile and has flowers that close tightly during self-pollination. These features help to prevent accidental or unintentional fertilizations that could have diminished the accuracy of Mendel’s data.

to Exercise (p. 11)
Two sets of \( P_0 \) parents would be used. In the first cross, pollen would be transferred from a true-breeding tall plant to the stigma of a true-breeding dwarf plant. In the second cross, pollen would be transferred from a true-breeding dwarf plant to the stigma of a true-breeding tall plant. For each cross, \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) offspring would be analyzed to determine if offspring traits were affected according to which parent donated each trait.

Glossary

Definition 2: blending theory of inheritance
hypothetical inheritance pattern in which parental traits are blended together in the offspring to produce an intermediate physical appearance

Definition 2: continuous variation
inheritance pattern in which a character shows a range of trait values with small gradations rather than large gaps between them

Definition 2: discontinuous variation
inheritance pattern in which traits are distinct and are transmitted independently of one another

Definition 2: dominant
trait which confers the same physical appearance whether an individual has two copies of the trait or one copy of the dominant trait and one copy of the recessive trait

Definition 2: \( F_1 \)
first filial generation in a cross; the offspring of the parental generation

Definition 2: \( F_2 \)
second filial generation produced when \( F_1 \) individuals are self-crossed or fertilized with each other

Definition 2: hybridization
process of mating two individuals that differ with the goal of achieving a certain characteristic in their offspring

Definition 2: model system
species or biological system used to study a specific biological phenomenon to be applied to other different species

Definition 2: \( P_0 \)
p parental generation in a cross

Definition 2: product rule
probability of two independent events occurring simultaneously can be calculated by multiplying the individual probabilities of each event occurring alone
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**Definition 2: recessive**

trait that appears “latent” or non-expressed when the individual also carries a dominant trait for that same characteristic; when present as two identical copies, the recessive trait is expressed

**Definition 2: reciprocal cross**

paired cross in which the respective traits of the male and female in one cross become the respective traits of the female and male in the other cross

**Definition 2: sum rule**

probability of the occurrence of at least one of two mutually exclusive events is the sum of their individual probabilities

**Definition 2: trait**

variation in the physical appearance of a heritable characteristic